NATIONALISM TODAY

The Radical Voice of British Nationalism

40p

ISRAEL ÜBER ALLES

See Page 11

NATIONALISM TODAY *The Radical Voice of British Nationalism*

50 Pawsons Road, Croydon, Surrey

Editor: Joe Pearce
Assistant Editors:
Jim Capes, John Field, Nick Griffin,
Nick Wakeling

Nationalism Today exists to reflect a cross-section of contemporary British nationalist opinion. It is published by N.T. Press and editorially it supports the National Front.

Unless specifically stated to the contrary, the views expressed in signed articles or letters are the sole responsibility of their authors and do not necessarily represent the views of the Editors or the policies of any political organisation Nationalism Today may support editorially.

The appearance of any advertisement in Nationalism Today is not necessarily indicative that the Editors have any knowledge of, interest in or support for the product, service, organisation or function advertised.

The Editor is pleased to receive manuscripts of articles from readers for possible publication which should normally be no longer than 1,250 words and typed in double spacing. No payment is made for articles published, which become Nationalism Today copyright unless authors specifically request otherwise at the time they submit their manuscripts. The Editors reserve the right to shorten or otherwise amend articles accepted for publication should shortage of space or editorial judgement require such alteration to be made.

The Editors are pleased to receive for possible publication private, non-copyrighted photographs. These should normally be black and white. If unused, they can only be returned if a suitable stamped addressed envelope is provided.

Those wishing to re-print articles from Nationalism Today must first gain the permission of the Editors and undertake to include with the re-printed matter the author's name and the name and address of Nationalism Today.

EDITORIAL

Issue No. 12

THE STOCK EXCHANGE MUST GO!

THE article on page twelve of this issue argues very strongly in favour of the abolition of the Stock Exchange. It is the firm commitment of *Nationalism Today* that the Stock Exchange is a parasitic institution which must be scrapped if the British worker is to find social justice and if Britain is to maintain her sovereignty.

However, it must be stated that our opposition to the Stock Exchange does not indicate any hostility on our part to the small investor who owns relatively few shares compared with the large capitalist investors. It is only the present system of large-scale trading and speculation in stocks and shares, and not investment *per se*, **which meets with our disapproval.**

MUTUALLY BENEFICIAL

What is needed is a system of investment which will be mutually beneficial to borrower and lender alike without the evils inherent in the present system. Such investment is possible within the framework of Financial Nationalism and it will be discussed at greater length in the next issue.

For the time being though, we urge all *N.T.* readers to read "The Case Against The Stock Exchange" on page twelve. We believe this article to be of particular importance to the ideological development of the National Front because it further establishes the principle that few should not grow rich at the expense of the many.

It also exposes the self-evident immorality of the present financial system. This system works to the benefit of the super-rich vampires who feed off the blood and sweat of the ordinary British worker. And it works to the detriment of the hard working, honest and conscientious majority who are labouring just to line the pockets of the financial speculators.

The Stock Exchange is a parasite's paradise. It must be destroyed!

Grovelling for the Black vote

THE SICKENING sight of Sir George Young, the Tory Minister for Race Relations attempting to ingratiate himself with Black rioters in Brixton over the last few months indicates the degenerate multi-racialism of the Conservative Party. It goes without saying though that the other Establishment parties are equally committed to grovelling to the Black community.

The nauseating multi-racialism of the Labour Party was exemplified by the adoption of Paul Boateng, the notorious Black extremist, as their prospective parliamentary candidate for Hemel Hempstead in the next election. Boateng revealed his contempt for White civilisation just six weeks after his adoption as a Labour candidate when he spoke at a meeting in Brixton which was held to celebrate the 95th anniversary of the birth of Black Power leader Marcus Garvey. In his speech, Boateng praised the establishment of racialist businesses for Blacks only, such as the Black Star shipping line which was originally set up by Marcus Garvey himself. He got an enthusiastic reception from the Black audience at the meeting and one young Rastafarian remarked: "He's an alright guy, if anything happens to him now Brixton will burn again!"

Needless to say, the Social Democratic Party were not to be outdone in the "let's grovel for the Black vote" stakes. In a recent policy document, entitled *Britain's Urban Crisis*, the SDP proposed that there should be powers to "fire" companies from public contracts if they do not employ enough Black people. They are also demanding that even more money should be pumped into immigrant areas like Handsworth and Brixton.

Sir George Young, the Tory Race Minister, grovelling for the Black vote in Brixton.

Jumping the queue

A BLACK COUNCILLOR, married but with no children, has recently moved into a flat ahead of a housing waiting list of at least 10,000.

The councillor is Merle Amory, deputy Labour leader on Brent Council in West London. Together with her husband, Mr. Paul Franklin, a teacher, she has a combined annual income of £13,000.

Until recently the Franklins were said to be living in a 'licensed squat' in Chandos Road, Willesden Green, run by the Brent People's Housing Association. It was the housing association who nominated 24-year-old Councillor Amory and her husband for a first floor one-bedroomed council flat in Harley Road, Wembley.

Meanwhile thousands of White married couples have to wait years to get anywhere on local council housing waiting lists. Many are forced to live with their parents or relatives in over-crowded and often sub-standard accommodation.

"BLACK DEATH" AT PUBLIC SCHOOL

THE PAMPERED pupils at Millfield, a £5000-a-year public school in Somerset, have just had the reality of the multi-racial society brought home to them.

A 17-year-old Nigerian boy brought typhoid fever back with him from his summer holidays at home in the jungle. Now he, his five brothers and three cousins, also at Millfield School, are in Taunton Hospital's Infectious Diseases Unit. And another 15 of the school's well-heeled pupils are under observation.

The possibility that their pampered little darlings may be struck down by tropical diseases may draw the attention of their rich mummies and daddies – doubtless pillars of the multi-racialist Establishment all – to the fact that Coloured Immigrants bring more to our society than steel drums and curry shops.

"Bet on it, man, nothing has changed and nothing will. It's us and you – Black and White. Scarman just got Mr. Policeman off our backs. But nothing has changed."

– A Black youth talking to a *Daily Express* reporter in Brixton earlier this year.

BLACKS FIRST

ONE of the most sickening and corrupt features of the multi-racial society is what is known as "Positive Discrimination".

"Positive Discrimination" is the policy whereby Coloured Immigrants are given preference over White people in housing, jobs and welfare services by more and more local authorities around Britain.

BRENT council is to pay four Black students £5,000 a year for five years to train as teachers – although they have no GCE passes.

They will be trained to Bachelor of Education standard before being offered jobs in schools in the Borough.

Explaining the move, Labour councillor – and local teacher – Mr. Ron Anderson said: "It is important we get more Black teachers."

DERBYSHIRE council's police committee recently decided to approve the recruitment of 12 Black police cadets without the required four GCE "O" Levels.

LEICESTER is introducing a new policy designed to boost recruitment of Blacks and Asians.

Some £10,000 will be spent each year to advertise jobs in the ethnic minority Press and on radio.

Current staff will also be trained to understand cultural differences – and eventually only those with such training will be able to interview job applicants.

Commenting on the scheme, Leicester council leader Mr. Peter Soulsby said that the need for educational qualifications might sometimes be waived.

LEWISHAM Council has advertised for "ten people of West Indian and African origin, aged 23-45 years, of any educational background" to train as social workers, youth workers and career officers.

A year of "field-based experience, with a day release programme" is provided, together with an allowance of £50 a week.

The scheme – known as New Directions – is run by the North Lewisham Project in Evelyn Street, Deptford, and financed by a £29,200 grant from Lewisham council and £55,000 from the Department of the Environment.

The Race Act, under which anyone who dares discriminate against a Black can be hurled into jail forthwith, allows such 'positive discrimination' against Whites. After all, it's only our country.

National Front News

A broadsheet published monthly in support of the National Front. Subscriptions only for 5 copies or more. 12 issues of 5 copies £9.00.

Reduced rates for bulk supplies given on request. Send 25p for sample copy now.

PROTECT AND SURVIVE!

MANY naive people still believe that capitalism is the system of private ownership where small businesses are allowed to flourish. They still foolishly believe that Government interference in the economy is harmful and that the *laissez-faire* approach is the best way of ensuring economic prosperity.

Exactly how wrong these people are can best be gauged by looking at the latest bankruptcy figures. In an economy which has been managed for the last three years by a Conservative Party which is openly committed to the *laissez-faire* capitalist doctrine the figures for bankruptcy are worse than ever.

The total debts of personal and business failures in 1981 rocketed to £189 million, a staggering increase of 16% on the previous year. A total of 4,744 receiving orders were made by the courts last year, which is 30% up on 1980. And for the first seven months of this year, the figures are even worse. The number of bankruptcies has already reached 3,352 and the latest figures for company liquidations continue to show a massive increase over last year.

Against a total of 8,596 company failures for the whole of 1981, the Department of Trade has already recorded 7,182 liquidations up to the end of July. That suggests an annual rate of more than 12,000 failures for 1982.

This dismal picture of bankruptcies and company liquidations illustrates very clearly the depressed nature of the economy under present *laissez-faire* conditions. However, it by no means shows the whole ugly truth of life in a capitalist system, where small businesses go to the wall while the really big fish of industry and commerce continue to grow fatter and fatter on a diet of enormous profits.

The **real** facts of life in a capitalist economy are that the strongest survive by killing off their weaker rivals. Economies of scale will ensure that Big Business will always survive economic recessions, and the financial vulnerability of smaller concerns will always mean that it is they that suffer.



Thus it can be seen that the real big boys of industry are still flourishing. The ever-lengthening list of bankruptcies doesn't affect them, and the only thing which does concern them is not whether or not they will make a profit but how big that profit will be.

ENORMOUS PROFIT

The banks are a prime example of a section of the economy which continues to prosper regardless of economic conditions. For instance, Barclays bank made a profit of £236.5 million between the first half of 1981 and the first half of 1982. Yet this enormous profit was described as "dismal" and Barclays chairman, Timothy Bevan, described the figures as "disappointing".

The other big three 'British' banks all made similarly large profits. National Westminster made £214 million, Lloyds made £193 million and Midland made £95 million.

Another section of the economy which prospers in *laissez-faire* conditions are the big multi-nationals. ICI made profits of £335 million in 1981 and their £145 million in profit the first half of this year was described by ICI chairman, John Harvey-Jones, as "inadequate". Blue Circle Industries, the multi-national cement group, announced profits of over £48 million in the first half of 1982. The Cadbury Schweppes group made profits of £30 million for the first half of this year which is an increase on the £27 million profit for the same period last year. Plessey, one of the multi-national giants of the electronics scene, received first-quarter profits up from £25 million to £31 million. Meanwhile, Shell announced higher than expected first-quarter profits of £414 million.

SACRIFICED

These figures should indicate the way in which banks and multi-national corporations thrive upon the doctrine of *laissez-faire* capitalism. It is these enormously powerful concerns that are the real beneficiaries of the capitalist system, not small business. In fact, small enterprises are constantly being sacrificed to the *laissez-faire* capitalist god so that the banks and the big multi-nationals can increase their stranglehold over the economy even further.

Small businesses can only survive if they are properly protected and no protection can be given under *laissez-faire* conditions. The only way they can survive and prosper is through the destruction of the capitalist system, the nationalisation of the banks and the breaking up of the multi-nationals. Big Business must die so that small businesses may live!

FISHING—Betrayal of an Industry

BRITAIN'S fishing industry is dying. Cheap imports and the plundering of our fishing stocks by our Common Market "partners" are throwing our fishermen on the dole and sending their ships to the scrapyard.

Britain's deep-sea fish catch fell from 232,258 tonnes in 1972 to 26,984 tonnes in 1980. In the same time the number of deep-sea vessels fell from 168 to 50. This year it has fallen still further to only 35, many of which are up for sale. Hull and Grimsby, until recently the biggest fishing ports in Europe, are now virtually deserted, with empty warehouses and unused rusting trawlers.

The main reason for this is that Common Market fishing policy allows the rest of Europe to fish in our waters. The EEC joint agreements with countries such as Russia and Canada have also deprived us of traditional fishing grounds, with little or no compensation.

Bans on the fishing of depleted fish stocks have been observed by British fishermen, but ignored by the short-sighted and greedy French. The Dutch are also notorious for ignoring quotas.

The latest EEC agreement will, say the fishermen, rob us of nearly 3/4 of our fishing resources.

BLAME

They blame the Government for allowing this to happen and for refusing to stand firm in defence of Britain's traditional rights. Attempts to declare our own 200 mile limit have been gradually watered-down to 100 miles, then 50. Now there is just a "faint hope" that we might keep a 12 mile limit to protect the spawning and nursery grounds from foreign trawlers which use vacumes to suck up even small fish for fertilizer factories.

One trawler owner, last in a family line of six generations, is Thomas Boyd of Hull. His message is blunt, "The Government will be responsible for the total collapse of what was, when Britain joined the EEC, the largest fish industry in Europe. It's in the process of becoming the smallest, if it survives at all."

So over a thousand years of proud maritime tradition and a vital national food supply are being thrown away. In any healthy society the politicians responsible would be executed for sabotage and treason. But in modern Britain they get fat salaries and cushy jobs in Brussels and Whitehall.

NF CALENDARS

£2.50 plus 94p postage from 50 Pawsons Road, Croydon, Surrey CRO 2QF.

THE DRIFT FROM THE LAND

BRITAIN's farming families are quitting the land in increasing numbers. Nearly 18,000 workers and their families have left agriculture in the last two years. There are now only 265,700 people working on the land.

The number of farms in Britain has **halved** since the beginning of the century. Now there are only 250,000 and the average farm size has doubled.

City institutions – insurance companies, banks, pension funds, property unit trusts – now own half a million acres of farming land. Foreigners own up to three quarters of a million acres, most of it in Scotland. The landed aristocracy still own some 32% of the nation's total acreage.

This trend of fewer and larger farms, with ownership concentrated in fewer hands, and the drastic reduction in the numbers employed in agriculture, is deliberate government policy. The 1970 Agriculture Act decreed that the day of the small farm was over and with it the need for the County Council smallholding. The Act demanded that small farms were to be amalgamated to form larger, supposedly more viable, units.

Local authorities are now being pressurised into selling off their smallholdings for large scale development. These statutory smallholdings have in the past played a significant role in the development of British agriculture. **Such smallholdings satisfied the farm workers who had aspirations toward independence.** They reached their zenith following the Land Settlement Acts of 1919 and 1921, which provided smallholdings for ex-servicemen. The number of these smallholdings has dropped from over 30,000 since the 1920s to 9,500 in 1976. Today, some 6,000 of these are under threat.

OBLIGATION

The 1970 Agriculture Act also released local authorities from their obligation to provide for those qualified by experience – 5 years experience in agriculture or agricultural education – to apply for a statutory smallholding.

This situation has arisen because it is the official view that large capital-intensive farms are more desirable. It is argued that large mechanised farms are often more efficient in the sense that enlarging the scale of operation results in increased material returns to labour. However, this argument ignores some important social, environmental and economic factors:

Small farms are more labour intensive. We are in an era of high unemployment which is not likely to change for the better in the forseeable future. Any loss of jobs from agriculture which lengthens the dole queue is of very dubious economic benefit.

Furthermore, as rural communities lose their more vigorous members, who move to towns in search of jobs, they are replaced by commuters, holiday homers, and retired people. As a result the village ceases to be a lively self-reliant community and becomes a mere appendage to the affluent suburbs. More jobs in agriculture would help to reverse this trend. Part-time holdings could supplement the income of those, such as the village postmaster, the milk roundsman and the shopkeeper whose services may be endangered by the decreasing rural population, making them difficult to sustain as full-time occupations.

Output per acre is generally higher on the small farm. Many smallholdings follow the lines of the traditional mixed farm, with rotation of crops and livestock building fertility and intensifying land use. This contrasts with large scale intensive farming with its consistent over-cropping, inappropriate mechanization and use of poorly researched pesticides, which results in the destruction of the quality and fertility of the soil, and produces poor quality food.

Uncertainty over future trends in, and the rising costs of, agriculture and the rising costs of non-renewable resources, make it wise not only to maintain a sizeable small farm sector, but to increase it.

A rise in energy prices will encourage a shift back to more labourintensive methods and a sharp rise in the need for skilled people. Much energy is used in processing and distributing food, and more expensive energy would mean that these would have to become more localised. This, of course, favours the farm which grows a wide range of produce in sufficiently small quantities for it to be assimilated locally.

We must stem the drift of people from the land, split up large farms and re-introduce sound farming techniques. Small farms will have an important part to play in the agricultural policy of a future Nationalist Britain.

Northern Ireland

CAPITALIST GREED BETRAYS LOYALIST ULSTER

WESTMINSTER policies to attract jobs to Ulster, which has the highest unemployment rate in the U.K., have failed. This was the conclusion reached by the House of Commons Industry and Trade Committee in a report published on September 15th.

The reason for this failure is that, thanks to tax incentives described by the Committee as "questionable" and other underhand methods, the Irish Republic is able to offer bigger bribes to Capitalists to invest south of the Border than Westminster is prepared to offer to invest in Ulster.

As the Committee report puts it: "Inducements to invest in Northern Ireland are not even now matching those in the Republic of Ireland, especially in support after initial grants. In these circumstances it can hardly be suggested that Westminster policies have succeeded."

Not surprisingly, the smell of cash has proved stronger in the nostrils of British Capitalists than the stench of the IRA bomb.

So, as the Committee said: "We are disturbed to learn that more firms from Great Britain were investing in the Republic of Ireland than in Northern Ireland."

We, too, are disturbed, but hardly surprised. We at least harbour no naive belief that Capitalists, British or otherwise, are

motivated in the slightest by patriotism, or by anything else than profit. If it is more profitable to invest in Britain's enemies at the expense of Britain's friends then 'British' Capitalists will do so, just as when it proved more profitable to flood our country with cheap coloured labour than to pay British workers a decent living wage.

Any Capitalist who let patriotism and concern for his country's welfare stand in the way of maximising profits would be swiftly driven to the wall in competition with rival Capitalists not held back by such 'unbusinesslike' scruples. Thus the Capitalist System breeds unpatriotic Capitalists, who in turn breed and foster multi-racialism and the betrayal of loyal Britons in Ulster. A few blinkered and stupid reactionaries still fail to see that this is so, that today the patriotic Capitalist is as much a contradiction in terms as the Negro NF member. The anti-British attitude of Britain's Capitalists is not some strange quirk on their part, it is an inevitable result of the Capitalist System which created them, a result for which loyal Ulstermen on the dole queue and mugged old ladies in the casualty ward have paid and will go on paying until we smash capitalism!

RACE CRISIS HITS EUROPE

SCANDINAVIA

WHILE Britain's racial strife has been kept out of the news this summer, Sweden and Denmark have been reeling under the impact of several bloody race-riots in their capital cities. The situation became so serious that the front page of a Copenhagen newspaper on August 30th carried the banner headline: RACE-WAR!

Like most European nations, the Swedes and Danes have been flooded with foreign immigrants and their major cities now have sizeable Turkish populations. It was therefore inevitable that the White population would react against these unwanted 'guest-workers', and this summer's riots have shown in an uncompromising fashion that Sewdish and Danish youth is going to fight multi-racialism all the way.

Events in Stockholm have horrified the liberal establishment. Ku Klux Klan-style wooden crosses have been burnt in the streets, young Swedish skinheads have fought pitched battles against immigrant gangs culminating in a 14-year-old Swedish boy being shot and critically wounded by a Turk near the city of Örebro.

Racial tension in Copenhagen exploded during several summer weekends, particularly in the inner-city area of Nörrebro on the 28-29th of August. The *B.T.* newspaper on the Monday after, devoted four pages to the 'bloody' battles, quoting young Danes as saying, "We are going to kick the Turks out of Nörrebro."



BEVARA SVERIGE SVENSKT

"KEEP SWEDEN SWEDISH!"

Immigrants, sociologists and officials have blamed the trouble on Danes following Sweden and England's example. It was also stated that tension had been building since Israel's invasion of Lebanon, which had aroused anti-semitism among the Danish population.

These riots are just the start of a Race War that promises to continue for many years yet in Denmark and Sweden. It looks as if the warrior-spirit, which is not too apparent in modern, liberal Scandinavia, is making a dramatic come-back with a new generation of Vikings.

HOLLAND

HIGH crime rates are now part and parcel of urban life in most Western cities. Rapes, muggings and other forms of violent street crime are now common place in the big conurbations, they seem to be symptomatic of the urban disease.

However, up until recently, the Dutch city of Amsterdam appeared to be an exception to this general rule. Now all this has changed with Holland's major metropolis being afflicted with a high and ever increasing level of crime.

Serious crime has risen 25% in the past year. Indeed so prevalent has crime become that Amsterdam police have stopped keeping track of 'minor' transgressions like purse snatching. "If we looked at the total numbers," a police official was quoted as saying, "we'd only get more depressed."

It will come as no surprise to learn that immigrants are responsible for a large proportion of the city's crime. Chief among the culprits are Negro immigrants from Surinam, a former Dutch colony which gained independence in 1975. Since then more than 40,000 have arrived to claim the citizenship that Dutch law guarantees them. Police blame immigrants for 75% of Amsterdam's serious crime.

But Dutch Whites are fighting back. In the country's recent General Election they elected an M.P. demanding repatriation of Coloured Immigrants. This is the first time an openly racialist candidate has been elected to the Dutch Parliament.

GREECE: Another "Socialist" Sell-Out

RIGHT-WING reactionaries greeted the ascension to power of the 'Socialist' government in Greece with the predictable shrieks of utter horror one has come to expect from these mindless mouthpieces of Wall Street. They claimed that Andreas Papandrou's 'left-wing' government would become a satellite of Moscow and, as such, would threaten the security of the 'West'.

These infantile claims were completely unfounded in reality since Papandreou's *regime* never had any intention of joining forces with the Warsaw Pact countries. On the contrary, the main election campaign plank promoted by his Panhellenic Socialist Movement was for "Papandreou's **independent** foreign policy". In other words, they sought the **true** national sovereignty which is the right of all governments, completely independent from the dictates of either Moscow **or** Wall Street.

However, the reactionaries had no cause to worry in the first place since "Papandreou's independent foreign policy" was never carried out. Greece has abandoned her quest for independence due to the international influence of American Big Business.

As a result, Papandreou has reneged on all the main issues which got him elected.

He promised to pull Greece out of the military wing of Nato. He changed his mind and Greek ships are now joining a Nato fleet for exercises in the Mediterranean.

He demanded the immediate removal of all American nuclear stockpiles in Greece. They are still there and are likely to remain indefinitely.

He threatened his sworn enemy, the United States, with a deadline for pulling out of its military bases on Greek soil. No deadline has been imposed and Papandreou's relations with Washington are said to be "Good".

He was opposed to Greece's membership of the Common Market and promised that a referendum would be held to decide on whether Greece should remain a member state. No referendum has been held and he has apparently abandoned any idea of holding one in the future.

The main reason for this complete abandonment of the "independent foreign policy" is the threatened pull-out of foreign investment in the country if his electoral promises were kept. **In other words, Papandreou was blackmailed by international finance and the multi-nationals and he collapsed under their pressure. He failed to recognise that all capital on Greek soil is Greek property and, as such, is liable to expropriation at any time. All foreign investment would then become superfluous and all necessary investment could be issued to the Greek economy directly from the Greek government and the Greek people.**

WORLD AFFAIRS

WHO NEEDS HONG KONG?



THE RECENT visit of Tory leader Margaret Thatcher to Peking for a cosy chat with the Chinese Communist leadership over the future of Hong Kong has raised anew the question of the colony's value and eventual fate.

At present, Capitalist Hong Kong is of great value to Communist China. It supplies 40% of the foreign currency Peking's Reds need to buy badly needed modern equipment for their armed forces. Nonetheless, they are committed for reasons of national pride to restore Chinese sovereignty over the British colony, 90% of which reverts to them in 1997 anyway under the original treaty leasing it to Britain.

Hong Kong isn't doing badly out of the present agreement either. Its population, despite being 98% Chinese ethnically, enjoy British citizenship and easy access to U.K. markets, as well as the usual rock-bottom Oriental labour costs, enabling them to undercut British workers' produce and flood our home market with dirt cheap clothes and other items. However, many Hong Kong Chinese fear eventual rule by the Peking dictatorship, and would flee, probably to Britain, if that came about.

We in Britain get nothing out of Hong Kong, except an ongoing diplomatic headache with Red China and longer dole queues as Hong Kong imports undercut British produce. The colony is militarily useless, being impossible to defend. The colony is not historically British soil, nor, unlike the Falklanders, are its people British or even White. Therefore we are in no way honour-bound to hold on to it.

Indeed, Hong Kong is not merely useless to us. It is positively harmful. In the short term because it is earning the World's greatest non-White power the cash it needs to further increase its military power. Though reactionaries cannot be expected to see it, the Asiatic hordes of China are a far greater racial threat than our Russian racial kinsmen. In the longer term, there is a real possibility that a Chinese takeover of Hong Kong would be followed by the admission into our country of five million Hong Kong Chinese 'refugees'. Thatcher has already publically accepted responsibility for their fate, thus at a stroke doubling our Coloured Immigrant population. The consequences for our nation's future do not bear thinking about.

We are better off without Hong Kong. We might as well dump it and its non-White inhabitants on Peking. Thereby we would deal a double blow to our greatest racial foe, killing the goose that lays most of Communist China's golden eggs and handing them five million dissidents to control.

Reactionaries may delight in seeing lots of red dots on the maps, even if they are no use except as potential sources of Coloured Immigrants, just as most of our former Afro-Asian empire was. Our first duty is to our Nation and our Race. As such we shall not shed a tear when the Union Jack is hauled down for the last time over the Asiatic shanty town and sweat-shop complex that is Hong Kong.

BULLDOG

The monthly paper of the Young National Front. Send 25p for a sample copy to 50 Pawsons Road, Croydon, Surrey CRO 2QF.

CANADA

Letting Them In

ALTHOUGH, in the Continent of North America, the United States is bearing the brunt of the never-ending immigrant population explosion, Canada is now beginning to suffer as well.

For some 60,000 Indo-Chinese boat people have settled in Canada in the last two years – and more non-White "refugees" are expected.

A growing number of these immigrants are Russian Jews, whom the Canadian government classifies as "Italians". Under an unusual set of immigration laws, those entering the country are not classified by their place of origin but by their "country of last residence".

About 70% of the Jews leaving the USSR now stop in Italy temporarily before continuing on to Canada – or the United States. Some 3,000 of these "Italian" refugees have made Canada their home since the beginning of 1979.

And the Canadian government is encouraging non-White immigration by paying the plane fare of all the "refugees" it admits – and by giving them a $1,200 "resettlement allowance".

Wanting Them Out

ONE-THIRD of all Canadians would opt for an all-White society!

That was the major factor indicated by the results of a Gallup poll, recently released by Canada's "Multiculturism" Minister, Mr. Jim Fleming.

The poll showed, he said, that one-third of more than 2,000 people polled across the country "support the idea of an all-White society for Canada".

One of the questions asked was whether the respondent would support organisations that work towards preserving Canada for Whites only. Mr. Fleming said that a "startling 31.3% of Canadians said that they would agree with that, "more or less", while 15.4% said that they agreed completely with the idea of an all-White Canada.

The poll indicated that Canada has "some serious problems," said Mr. Fleming, adding that racism often increased during rough economic times.

And, so concerned is the Trudeau Government over the poll findings, that "race relations" conferences have been held in Vancouver.

Send Dem Back

THE Home Affairs Minister of the 'Zimbabwe' Government, justifying his deportation of a White Australian:

"We do not want to become the dumping ground of the World."

STEVE BRADY

Does the Militant Tendency know too much?

A RECENT policy document written by veteran Trotskyite Ted Grant throws some interesting light on the Militant Tendency, the group which is becoming the victim of a purge within the Labour Party.

Ted Grant, is the Tendency's leader and his latest offering, *Britain in Crisis – A Marxist Analysis*, shows a perception of the real world which is unusual for a Marxist. For instance, he openly admits that Blacks were "brought to Britain as a weapon of cheap labour to split the proletariat and to hold down wages". However, this rare example of a communist ideologue perceiving something which corresponds with reality is soon overshadowed by the fantasising one has come to expect from the mouthpieces of Marxism. He goes on to state that last year's Black riots were not race riots, a deduction which is totally devoid of any factual basis. He does perceive though that the Blacks can be used as the cannon-fodder for the Red Revolution, a perception not completely unique to comrade Grant considering that the same thing was being said by leading communists such as Israel Cohen at the turn of the century.

But it is Grant's analysis of the role of Racial Nationalist groups which is bound to prove controversial and will upset most of his colleagues on the left. He totally dispels the orthodox Trotskyite line that groups like the NF are 'capitalism's boot-boys'. He states: "Contrary to the ideas of the sects (the SWP etc.) the *bourgeois* have not used the fascists as an important weapon of capitalism". He goes on to explain that previous national revolutionary movements "politically expropriated" the capitalist elements who sought to use them, and so the reactionaries "burned their fingers" when they attempted to use Nationalists as a "heavy mob". **So the standard Trotskyite approach to the role of Racial Nationalist groups is shown to be false by one of the very people who should be supporting such an approach – one of Britain's leading Trotskyites!**

The next premise of Trotskyism to bite the dust in the wake of Grant's writing is the claim that capitalism is racialist. Grant insists that capitalists will not risk losing their markets in Africa, Asia and Latin America by implementing any racialist policies. And that's the reason, comrade Grant concludes, that the Tory Government reversed their 1979 election policy and handed Rhodesia over to Black Marxist terrorists.

HAMMER BLOWS

But if the credibility of these Trotskyite ideas are smashed by one of their very own leading lights, even more hammer blows are struck by Grant as he seems to be waking up to reality. He even predicts that "the *bourgeois* will crack down" and use police action and large prison sentences to crush British Nationalists in the future. So Militant's leader and guru has come out and admitted what we'd been saying all along!

Nor could we better by much Militant's *critique* of rival Marxist organisations. The Communist Party is a "decrepit" and "dying" organisation, devoid of revolutionary potential and "linked with the odium and smear" of the Soviet Empire. It had been taken over by a *"petit-bourgeois* and middle-class layer". The other Trotskyite sects are described as "overwhelmingly middle class ... in outlook, policy and composition". The WRP is "a permanent little sect outside reality"; the IMG is a "dwindling force, overwhelmingly middle class in composition and membership"; the SWP is "now in the doldrums" and "mainly composed of students and ex-students". Some SWP "opportunists" like Paul Foot will, Ted Grant not unreasonably predicts, end up as Tribunite Labour MPs. Labour's Tribune Group are dismissed as "middle class trendies", though its leader Lord "Tony Benn" Stansgate might better be described as an upper class trendy!

Neither does Ted Grant's attack on the left end there. He urges women to reject "the alien, hysterical ideas of the so-called Women's Liberation Movement". Indeed, it is only in his timid avoidance of analysing the nature of racial 'minority' involvement in the propagation of such "alien ideas" that Grant falls down, perhaps because that would set him on a slippery slope leading to his facing the "alien" nature of the Marxism he espouses.

SAME OLD SOLUTION

The Militant analysis also includes an incisive, well argued and thoroughly researched exposure of the depth and terminal nature of the present crisis of the capitalist system. Nevertheless, though its analysis of what is wrong with capitalism is reasonable enough, Grant and his Militants only have the same old Marxist solution to offer in its place. Even though Grant rightly perceives that under such a system "there is no direct control by the working class over society" and "workers realise that a bureaucratic system of this sort cannot move in the direction of socialism" he still has nothing to offer but the same old brand of State Capitalism. He still owes his ideological allegiance to Karl Marx, a 19th century Jewish crank, and this forces him to demand "nationalisation" as the answer to all the ills of society. He still demands state control as opposed to the workers' control advocated by the National Front.

Militant remain blinded to the fact that the crisis of capitalism is not so much a crisis caused by too much private ownership but of too little. And it cannot be solved – as surely Russia has shown – by abolishing private ownership altogether in favour of a bureaucratic tyranny of half-a-dozen Party bosses.

As this booklet shows, the Militant Tendency has come a long way. It has diagnosed the sickness of Britain's ruling system as accurately as any Marxist group can be expected to. It has broken free of infantile Trotskyite conceptions of Racial Nationalism as some sort of goon squad for ultra-Toryism. Furthermore, Militant has woken up to the fact that the Tories, or any other 'Right-Wing' defenders of capitalism, will never and **can** never implement any thorough-going racialist or nationalist policies because capitalism is and must be an international and consequently an internationalist system.



Ted Grant of the Militant Tendency: Seeing some of the truth but still offering the same old Marxist solution.

TRENDY POSEURS

The Tendency has seen through the *petit-bourgeois* trendy poseurs who make up the rest of the Marxist Left, and broken free from its ultra-liberal irrelevancies, such as Women's Lib, Gay Lib etc., which have nothing to do with British workers.

It is quite clear from this latest booklet that the Militant Tendency have chucked overboard much of the sterile alien dogma which has infested the Labour Movement since its hi-jacking by *bourgeois* aliens in the first decades of this century. Alone amongst Britain's Marxists, Militant members are beginning to see through the Red fog into the bright light of reality. Some of them have already realised that the Blacks were brought here to undercut and divide the White working class. Perhaps they will also realise fairly soon that the yawning inbred gulf of Race makes class unity between Black and White workers completely impossible.

Perhaps also they will finally see that the Labour Party is now finished as a medium for the triumph of British workers. Long ago it was sold out to the system, and today those who are not system hacks are Tribunite trendies, and both have nothing to offer the White worker. Is it so surprising then that these same system hacks are now conducting a witch-hunt against the Militant Tendency?

The *REAL* meaning of lower interest rates


INTERESTING NEWS
FORGET THE DOOM AND GLOOM!
WE HAVE GOOD NEWS!!
INTEREST RATES ARE FALLING!!
JOBLESS
HOW WILL THAT AFFECT THE 3½ MILLION UNEMPLOYED?
THEY'LL BE ABLE TO BORROW AT LOWER RATES OF INTEREST!

THE TORY GOVERNMENT are falling over backwards to convince investors and voters alike that the recession is drawing to a close. They continually quote the falling inflation rate as evidence of this and, whenever possible, they conveniently overlook the ever-increasing unemployment rate. Another factor which Thatcher and company are always quick to present as a pointer to economic recovery is the fall in interest rates. They greeted the announcement that the clearing banks were to reduce base rates even further with an almost euphoric optimism.

But a closer look at the real effect of falling interest rates on the economy will show that either the Tories are ignorant of the real facts of economic life or, more likely, their optimism is a cynical ploy to dupe the voter and fool the investor into believing that there is room for confidence in the future of Britain's ailing economy.

The truth of the matter is that the mechanisms connecting lower interest rates to economic revival are far more uncertain in effect than the government would have us believe.

The basis for Tory optimism is the claim that lower interest rates will make it more profitable for industry to invest. But what is actually **meant** by lower interest rates? In an economy blessed with zero inflation that would be a non-question, but until capitalism is smashed and a policy of financial Nationalism is implemented the economy will be permanently afflicted with this economic evil. **In the inflationary economy created by the capitalist debt-finance system, what matters is not the NOMINAL rate of interest but the REAL rate, allowing for inflation.**

If an investor borrows £100 at 10 per cent interest, a year later he will have paid out £110. But if in the meantime, prices have gone up 10%, the £110 then will be exactly equivalent to £100 now. The **real** rate of interest is zero.

The chart *(above right)* makes this point very clearly. It shows what has happened to real interest rates in Britain over the past three years. It plots the nominal interest rate – represented by the bank base rates – against inflation, measured by the retail price index over the previous 12 months. The real rate is approximately the excess of the interest rate over the inflation rate.

From this chart it immediately becomes apparent that interest rates, in real terms, are not particularly low at the moment. In fact, interest rates were lower during 1980, in real terms, even though the bank base rent was as high as seventeen per cent.

"THINKING REAL"

Even this understates the true effect of "thinking real". For industry, considering what to invest, the real rate of interest is not the amount by which the interest rate exceeds **past** inflation rates. It is the amount by which it exceeds expected **future** inflation rates. The lower the expected inflation rate, the higher the real rate of interest.

And this of course creates a very unwelcome dilemma as regards Tory economic policy. To encourage workers to accept low pay settlements, the Tories proclaim loudly that inflation is coming down. But if investors listen to these Tory proclamations, they may well decide that lower inflation means higher real interest rates – and so they will put off new investment. One way or the other, Conservative economic policy creates a paradox which is almost impossible to solve!

Real interest rates 1979-82



But if lower nominal rates don't affect the economy to anywhere near the degree that the government would have us believe, who exactly does gain from a lowering of the bank base rates? **Cynical observers of the capitalist system will not be surprised to learn that the biggest beneficiary whenever bank base rates are reduced is Big Business.**

The fact of the matter is that the personal sector , the ordinary man on the street, gets more in interest on its investments than it pays in interest on its borrowings. So the personal sector is clearly worse off in total if interest rates come down. On the other hand, stockbrokers Simon and Coates have estimated that, **for every 1% by which interest rates come down, Big Business gains an extra £250 million a year in profits!**

TRANSPARENTLY FALSE

So all government claims that the lowering of interest rates signal an upturn in the health of the economy are transparently false. The only thing which lower interest rates signal in real terms is another enormous financial killing for the Capitalists at the expense of the man on the street. All of this indicates the inherent evil of finance capitalism; a system where the workers toil to build a healthy economic environment only to see all of the products of their labour being manipulated for the benefit of the bankers and financiers.

NEW SYSTEM

It is clear from all this that a radically new system of finance is required. A system which will eliminate inflation, drastically reduce the burden of excessive taxation and decimate the number of people who are currently rotting on the dole. Such a system will have to take the banks out of private hands and restore to the National Government the right to issue the Nation's credit. It will also have to ensure that new enterprises, be they small businesses or workers' co-operatives, receive all of the investment they need either in the form of grants or interest free loans. Above all, a system of finance will have to be established where work is rewarded and financial speculation and manipulation is eliminated. The age of the financial parasite must be brought to an end; it must make way for the age of the British worker!

NEW NATION

Editor: Andrew Brons

AUTUMN 1982 ISSUE

OUT NOW!

Andrew Brons reviews Hilaire Belloc's Distributist policies ★ Kenneth Wilshaw exposes the Lubuvitch scandal ★ Stephen Brady examines the detractors of Sir Cyril Burt ★ A critique of the 'Paris School' of Jewish painters by our Arts Editor ★ Graham Williamson reviews conspiracy theories ★ Derek Holland warns of the coming credit card dictatorship ★ Philip Gegan reviews Anthony Sampson's "The Money Lenders" ★ Joe Pearce and Nick Griffin discuss reversing the drift to the town ★ Poetry, Music, and Debate

Sample copy: 75p + 16½p post to:

NEW NATION, 50 Pawsons Rd., Croydon, Surrey CRO 2QF

DEREK HOLLAND

A. K. CHESTERTON: RADICAL NATIONALIST

WHEN the NF embarked on its programme of ideological development, it was greeted by most rank and file Nationalists as an important historical landmark. However, there emerged a group of erstwhile Nationalist "leaders", who denounced the programme, shrieking "the Strasserite cuckoos are back in the nest!"; their intention was to convince grassroots Nationalists that the NF had become a party of national Trotskyites. It's heartening to record that most patriots rejected this absurd proposition because they possessed the ability to distinguish between Trotskyism and our platform of Social Justice.

In their desperate attempts to influence people, the reactionaries resorted to wholesale lies and distortions. Their strategy was especially pronounced when it came to the question of the "spiritual allegiance" of the Party's first, illustrious Chairman, A.K. Chesterton. The reactionaries, the failed men of yesteryear, propagated the argument that were A.K. alive today, he would roundly condemn the ideological direction of the NF. This article will demonstrate the utter stupidity of this belief by reviewing briefly major points in A.K.'s life.

Arthur Kenneth Chesterton was born of English parents in Krugersdorp, South Africa. In 1915, he enlisted in the 5th South African Light Infantry; by his 17th birthday he had survived three major battles in the German East Africa campaign. He was commissioned and transferred to the 7th Battalion Royal Fusiliers, later receiving the Military Cross for gallantry. Demonstrating great physical courage at an early age, he was later to show even greater moral courage in the realm of politics.

He returned to England in 1924, took up a journalistic career and swiftly rose to the editorship of the *Shakespeare Review;* he quickly became a widely respected journalist and critic.

The steep decline in Britain's fortunes in the late 20's and early 30's prompted him along with many other patriots, to join Mosley's Blackshirt movement. He rapidly emerged as a leading figure of the movement, being heavily involved in organizing, writing and speaking. From the outset, he was identified with the radical wing of the party, utterly rejecting the Rothermere faction who saw the BUF as "the action arm of the Tory Party". In 1938, disillusioned, he left the Blackshirts. He disliked Mosley's slavish apeing of the German Nazis for two reasons. Firstly, he believed that the Nazis were not the Nationalist miracle they claimed to be; secondly, he argued that the British people wanted British Nationalism, not an imported creed.

PRINCIPLE BEFORE PROFIT

After the war, he resumed his journalistic career and in 1953 became Lord Beaverbrook's literary adviser and personal journalist; the relationship was to prove stormy. The sellout of Britain and her interests caused A.K. to found *Candour* in 1953 as a rallying point for patriots, much to the annoyance of Beaverbrook. Following a series of confrontations, A.K. was given an ultimatum: choose between a fat salary and rosy future with Beaverbrook or *Candour* and insecurity; he chose the latter, thereby putting Principle before Profit.

"My impression of Strasser? A dauntless man of compelling sincerity and charm. May his Cause prosper because in essentials, it is our own." A.K. Chesterton, 1955.

As the sixties wore on, Chesterton realized that Britain's salvation was being hindered by the chronic disunity of British patriots. It was because he was widely held to be the Elder Statesman of British Nationalism that he endeavoured to overcome immense problems of personality and organizational disversity and build a solid, effective Nationalist force.

He recognised that the first phase of this project was the creation of the IDEA of a NATIONAL FRONT and that, in consequence, excessive dogmatism had to be avoided; the result was a broadly based programme that would unite the majority of Nationalists. The period 1967-70 was a difficult one that continually threatened the stability of the fragile merger, but A.K. successfully steered the Party to the 1970 General Election; it was an historic achievement. Overnight, a deeply divided organization became a unified, living reality.

The next stage would have been a period of education, whereby the membership would have been confirmed in their commitment to the Cause; A.K. knew that the movement could only be built on Principle and not Personality. Unfortunately, he was ousted by a clique of opportunists before the process could begin.

We have taken up the banner bequeathed by A.K., yet the reactionaries insist that we represent a betrayal of A.K.'s ideals. The leading exponents of this argument are a coterie of political pygmies. Well, was A.K. a fervent supporter of National Capitalism as these individuals claim?

The simple answer is no. A.K. was an intelligent and articulate man, who strongly attacked Capitalism. He realized that in attacking a Rockefeller or a Rothschild, he was attacking not only the owners and controllers of the banking system, but also the owners and controllers of the capitalist corporations that are stifling nationhood worldwide.

SUPPORT FOR STRASSER

The reactionaries have cited this journal's interest in the Strasserite worldview as definitive proof of our "Bolshevik roots". They whine that "if A.K. were alive today, he would be foremost in denouncing the current NF as a blood relative of the SWP". Is this true?

In an article, "The Crime of Dr Strasser" (Feb. 1955) A.K. wrote: "Strasser is a potent force and carries tremendous influence among the workers of his country. They (the communists) hate him even more violently than they hated the Nazis themselves". This would have been an opportune moment for A.K. to denounce Otto Strasser as a National Trotskyite and so confirm the reactionaries in their belief; instead A.K. showed, here and elsewhere, that he was well disposed to Strasser and his platform of Social Justice. For example, he wrote in *Candour* (March 1955): "I agree with him that the fight is against both Moscow and Wall Street. It happens too that though we are both strong nationalists, we have come to hate 'the State' with its manifold tyrannies and are anxious to see in our own countries, the DEVOLUTION OF POLITICAL AND ECONOMIC POWER."

This is a total condemnation of the philosophy of the reactionary, who preach the centralization of all power into the hands of "the State".

Let it be clear, therefore, that in taking a radical anti-capitalist and anti-communist line that seeks to diffuse and distribute the power and wealth of the Nation to the British people, we, of the NF, are confirming a tradition **initiated** by A.K. Chesterton.

THE BUTCHERS OF BEIRUT

HELPLESS children had their brains blown out as they lay weeping beside the bodies of their parents. Tiny babies were shot where they lay while their parents were lined up against a wall and shot in cold blood. A 19-year-old nurse was dragged away from helping wounded survivors and raped ten times before being shot.

These were just some of the atrocities committed in the Israeli-inspired massacre of innocent Palestinians in Beirut. Joe Pearce examines the backgrounds of the two people most responsible for the carnage in the Lebanon – Menachem Begin and Ariel Sharon.

THE SAVAGE slaughter of innocent Palestinians in Beirut is only the latest in a long list of barbaric atrocities carried out by Israel's leader Menachem Begin. His whole life has been dedicated to a form of Zionist extremism which has led to the slaughter of thousands of innocent Gentiles.

His terrorist career started way back in 1928, at the age of 15, when he joined a paramilitary group of Jewish extremists in Poland. This group, led by Vladimir Jabotinsky, carried out a campaign of terrorism against the indigenous Polish people.

Begin graduated from being a small-time member of a Jewish paramilitary group in Poland to being the leader of the Irgun butchers in Palestine. This group of terrorists, under Begin's personal guidance, were responsible for a whole series of murders and other atrocities in the years immediately following the end of World War Two. These included the bombing of the King David Hotel, the wholesale slaughter of innocent Arabs at Deir Yassin and the murdering, kidnapping and torturing of many British soldiers who were stationed in Palestine.

Today Begin has graduated even further, from being the leader of a terrorist group to being the Prime Minister of the whole Israeli state. But, even though his position is a more prestigious one, he has not forgotten his terrorist training and his lust for the blood of the *goyim*.

GENOCIDE

His latest acts of genocide against defenceless Palestinians in the Middle East only goes to show that nothing whatever has changed. Begin is every bit the barbaric butcher he was back in the 1940s when he hung British soldiers with piano wire.

He is, however, not the only Jewish fanatic in the Israeli parliament who is responsible for the massacre of innocent Gentiles. General Ariel Sharon, Begin's Defence Minister, was equally responsible for the systematic liquidation of men, women and children in Beirut. And he, like Begin, is no stranger to genocide. Indeed, he has carried out quite a bit personally.

Sharon was the former commander of the Israeli army's 'Einsatzgruppe 101'. This unit operated during the 1950s and its mission was to spread terror and demoralisation among the population of Arab states bordering Israel. It achieved this by systematically exterminating the entire civilian populations of selected Arab villages.

A typical Einsatzgruppe raid, carried out under Sharon's personal command, was mounted on the Jordanian village of Qibya on October 14th, 1953. Sharon's extermination squad crossed the Jordanian border and seized the undefended village at 9.30 p.m. From then until 4.30 a.m. the next morning, Ariel Sharon's Zionist murderers moved through the village from house to house, indiscriminately butchering men, women and children.

ORGY OF MURDER

An American Catholic priest, Father Ralph Gorman, who came on the scene soon after the massacre, described events after the Israelis had surrounded the village: "Then followed an orgy of murder that would be incredible if it had not been verified by reliable, neutral testimony. Women and children as well as men were murdered deliberately, systematically, and in cold blood."

A report to the United Nations Security Council, made by U.N. observers on the scene, confirmed this account. The report spoke of families being forced to remain in their homes at gunpoint whilst they were blown up with explosives, of "a night of terror" in which Israeli troops moved about the village "blowing up buildings, firing into doorways and windows with automatic weapons and throwing hand grenades".

Ariel Sharon commanded Einsatzgruppe 101 up until 1957, during which time he carried out a number of similar terror raids against unarmed villages. Now he is in control of the entire Israeli war machine which has been responsible for a terror raid, not just on isolated villages, but on an entire nation. The bloodlust of this former Jewish death squad commander is still unabated.

So Begin's deputy is as much a murderer of innocents as is the Israeli Prime Minister himself. The two of them have justifiably earned the title of the Butchers of Beirut.

However, it would be very easy for the mass media, which is very largely Jewish controlled, to put all the blame for the genocidal campaign against the Palestinians onto the shoulders of Begin and Sharon alone. In fact, this is exactly what the media has sought to do. It has depicted the mass of the Jews in Israel as kind, considerate people who wouldn't harm a fly. All of the blame for the Jewish murder campaign falls solely on Begin and Sharon, who are portrayed as exceptional Zionist fanatics who are not representative of the majority of Israeli people. This is an utter lie!

The Israeli Cabinet supports Begin's extermination policies all the way. A fanatical Begin supporter, when asked by a reporter for *Time* magazine to describe the relationship between the Israeli Prime Minister and his Cabinet, tersely replied: "They all owe their political lives to Begin. On the issues that count, the Cabinet reflects his views completely."

Neither is condonement of Begin's actions confined to the Cabinet; the **vast majority** of Israelis fully support the slaughter in the Lebanon. A recent *Jerusalem Post* poll disclosed that if elections were held, Begin's Likud coalition would win 66 seats in the 120-member Israeli parliament. This would be a gain of 18 seats. The survey also showed that Begin's more moderate opponents would lose twelve seats. **Furthermore, the polls indicate that Begin has never been more popular as a leader. The murder of thousands of innocent men, women and children has actually helped him win support!**

All of this shows that the blame for the murderous Jewish campaign in the Lebanon falls on the shoulders of the **entire** population of Israel. They have supported the Zionist Holocaust against the Palestinians all the way and, as such, they are equally to blame for all the murders. The blood of the innocents is on their hands.

Begin and Sharon are wicked, evil tyrants ruling over a wicked, evil people.

ROCKING THE REDS

Rock Against Communism fanzine. Issue 3 out now. Send 40p for sample copy to 50 Pawsons Road, Croydon, Surrey CRO 2QF.

STEVE BRADY

THE CASE AGAINST THE STOCK EXCHANGE

Trading on the floor of the Stock Exchange. A handful get rich at the expense of the majority of British people.

EVERYBODY knows that industry needs investment. Without the vital infusion of financial capital many industries would go to the wall and many more prospective businesses would never get off the ground in the first place. But how should the economy receive this crucial investment?

At the moment, the Stock Exchange represents a central source for the provision of financial capital to the economy. However, this system of large-scale trading in stocks and shares is unnecessary and, furthermore, is downright immoral. It is unnecessary because under a Nationalist economic system, industry will be owned by the industrial workers themselves; industrial and commercial concerns will either consist of small businesses which will be owned by a small number of individuals, or of workers' co-operatives which will be owned by the workers themselves, or of nationalised industries which will be owned by the state. This is in keeping with the principles governing industrial ownership which were outlined in issue six of *Nationalism Today*.

However, as well as being unnecessary, the Stock Exchange is also completely immoral. The system of trading stocks and shares which it represents is parasitic and dangerously harmful to the health of the economy, the welfare of the worker and the sovereignty of the nation.

It's already been stated that the present system of industrial ownership is at the very root of the problem. Under this system, most large enterprises, other than state-owned concerns, are in the hands of stockholders. In return for a single one-off payment – the purchasing price of their shares – these shareholders enjoy indefinitely a share of control over the concern in question. They also enjoy a share – the so-called "dividend" – of its profits. Such shareholders may be individuals, trusts or pension funds, or other corporations. Indeed, some capitalist corporations – called "holding companies" – exist purely and simply to hold shares in other companies.

The primary role of the Stock Exchange is to serve as a market in which these shareholders may deal in shares in British industry. Such transactions serve to increase the power and wealth of those engaging in them. **However, the very rich may indulge in them as a form of recreation, a form of high-stakes gambling in which fortunes may be won or lost in a morning and in which companies employing thousands can change hands or be ruined in a day. Thus the Stock Exchange is turned into a sort of plutocrats' Monte Carlo where they amuse themselves gambling with our jobs.**

PARASITIC

Another factor which makes the Stock Exchange morally indefensible is its inherent parasitism. It is parasitic because it leads to the wealth created by the British worker – the profits earned by his labour – being enjoyed by those who have not contributed to the creation of that wealth. The only contribution which shareholders make is the once-only payment when they bought their shares in the first place, assuming they did buy them rather than inheriting them from a remote ancestor. And even then, the only time the purchase of shares helps the actual company concerned is when they were first issued. Anyone buying shares from a previous shareholder in no way contributes to the company the shares are in; all of the money involved in the transaction goes to the previous shareholder.

Yet the shares he purchases gives him the right to enjoy a share of the profit earned by the workers of that company! This is socially parasitic because the shareholders are just idly sponging on other people's hard work. And it is socially divisive because it leads to class conflict and industrial unrest. Those who wish to stir up such conflict and unrest can justifiably ask why the majority must work so that others can grow wealthy.

This manifest unfairness – that workers toil in order to enrich not themselves or their country, but to line the pockets of City plutocrats – lies at the root of the class war mentality which has crippled British industry.

PIMPS OF CAPITALISM

Also, the Stock Exchange creates a class of parasites who can quite rightly be called the pimps of capitalism. Through his shareholdings, one man can enjoy the fruits of the labour of thousands of workers in factories, shops and offices across the land – and, ominously, in other lands too. Yet no work whatever is required in return from this parasite. He just has to sit back and grow fat on the sweat of others.

He may also enjoy power over companies far out of proportion to his shareholding because only 8% of the British population own any shares at all and most own but a few. Most of the shares in many companies are thus vastly dispersed amongst thousands of small investors whose power is likewise dispersed and therefore insignificant. So one man owning 10% of all the shares may effectively control the whole company. He may do likewise in dozens of other companies around the world. He may conceal the extent of his shareholdings, and consequently the extent of his wealth and power, by hiding behind a tangled web of "holding companies", "reciprocal shareholdings", "trusts" and so on.

He may avoid any ill consequences of his greed or his folly because of the laws of limited liability on which the Stock Exchange system is based. If any of the companies in which he holds shares go broke he will be protected by these laws. Though the bankrupting of the company will throw thousands onto the dole queues, and will threaten to ruin scores of small businesses who are owed money by the defunct enterprise he, the shareholder, is liable only to the extent of the original purchase price of his shares. This is the case even though he may have milked the doomed concern for many times that amount in profits.

PROFIT BEFORE PATRIOTISM

And, by leaving his shares to his heirs, he may ensure that over the generations his descendents may amass a truly vast economic empire. Thus did the Rothschilds and the Rockefellers rise to their present eminence in the ranks of global parasitism. And, as his web of shares grows to entangle even more companies in even more lands, he will put the interests of the efficiency and profitability of his financial fiefdoms above any other interests, even those of his nation and his race. If he does not, then he will go to the wall in competition with others who will, until only those who put profit before patriotism survive to wield large-scale economic power.

Therefore, whatever his original political views or motives, the big shareholding capitalist will foster the growth of his cartel to become not merely national but multinational, its interests not bound up with those of his mother country but perhaps even opposed to his country's best interests.

Once unearned profits are allowed to be accumulated by shareholders and used by them to buy ever increasing power over the economy, they will wield that power in such a way as to increase it and the wealth to be gained from it. This in turn will be used to buy more shares, ever more control over the economy and even more power, all irrespective of the national interest.

Their cartels will tend to become not

merely national but multi-national. It is safer to spread one's shareholding amongst several countries, lest catastrophe strike one, and considerations of economies of scale, securing ownership of raw materials and retail outlets, and taking advantage of local cheap labour all favour going multi-national.

Multi-nationals in turn owe no loyalty to any one nation, especially if its interests conflict with their own. Indeed, the existence of separate nations reduces their efficiency and profitability. So it is in their interests to promote internationalism.

Similarly, it may at first have been in their interest to move their plant abroad to take advantage of cheap labour, as the cotton mills were moved from Lancashire to India in the 1920's, but sooner or later it will prove more in their interest to move the cheap labour to the plant. It is cheaper to move people than factories! So the plutocrats will encourage the immigration of cheap Coloured labour to the White industrial countries where their plant is located.

Ultimately they will tend to create a World where nations no longer exist, a plutocratic World State where a super-rich *elite* rules over a coffee-coloured consumerised race-mixed rabble.

MAGGOTS

"Patriotic plutocrats" who did not wish to do such things simply got elbowed aside and trampled underfoot by those who would. That is why the "national capitalist" is extinct. He could not compete with the multi-national capitalist and the race-mixing industrialist. This in turn is not the fault of such capitalists and industrialists but of the system that created them, of which the Stock Exchange is an integral part. If you create a jungle, do not be surprised that it comes to be dominated not by gentle grazers but by ravenous beasts of prey. **Maggots, not butterflies, breed in a dungheap.**

So it is not enough to eliminate the current crop of Capitalist race-traitors, or the creed to which many of them adhere. Nor is it enough to cut off their international tentacles. Nor are half-measures enough, such as seeking to prevent, by legislation, fundamentally unpatriotic institutions, such as shareholder-owned companies, from doing unpatriotic things. All that would do is create a tension which would eventually be resolved by the overthrow of the restrictive legislation – quite probably by financing of the overthrow of the Government responsible for it.

But if we fail to grasp the nettle, carry through the revolution, scrap the Stock Exchange and the power of the evil that it represents, then, however many rich race traitors, Zionist plutocrats and multi-racial minions we eradicate, however many Blacks we send back, we will have failed to pull up the tap-root of the poisonous weed of racial destruction. And one day, perhaps not soon but nevertheless certain, that weed will sprout anew and the system we cut down will rise again.

LIVERPOOL NEWSLETTER

Social Credit – Social Justice. Sample copy 25p. Rose Cottage, 17 Hadassah Grove, Liverpool L17 8XH.



DON'T TAX YOURSELF

IT'S ONE of the peculiar little ironies of life in capitalist America. If you can afford to pay taxes, you don't have to!

For a 1981 study based upon reports by major corporations to the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission has revealed that a number of the country's largest and most prosperous firms paid little or no tax to the federal government on their 1980 income.

Chase Manhattan Bank, Squibb Corp. and Monsanto Co. paid absolutely no taxes on U.S. income – despite earnings of 207 million, 78 million and 204 million dollars, respectively!

The biggest U.S. company, Exxon, paid a U.S. tax rate of 1.3%. AT&T paid approximately eight per-cent in taxes on its earnings of 7.7 billion dollars during the year.

Isn't capitalism just wonderful?

STICKING TOGETHER

THIS summer Dennis Kaplan, 24 kidnapped a 21 year old White women from Fort Lauderdale, Florida, beat her, raped her, and dumped her in a field. He subsequently appeared before a Judge Robert Abel, who on the advice of psychologist R.K. Burnstein let him off with 120 days in the county jail, although the prosecution had asked for 10 years. Judge Abel said he could not send a nice Jewish boy to the state penitentiary where other inmates might subject him to "violent sexual abuse".

The only non-Jews in this little tale of American life were the prosecuting counsel, who was disregarded, and the victim, who was beaten and raped.

RACE WAR IN PARADISE

MOST people think of the American state of Hawaii in terms of cocunut palms, sun-soaked coral sands and voluptuous Polynesian maidens swaying about in grass skirts. In fact, the islanders are torn by violent crime, much of it directed at "Haoles" (Whites).

Tourists wishing to sunbathe on Waikiki beach would be well adviced to carry a tear-gas spray to fend off muggers: One local police officer said that at night the beach could be as dangerous as New York's notorious Central Park.

Hawaii's Governor, recently called a crime conference attended by the islands' law enforcement authorities to try to check the growing crisis. On the main island, Oahu, murders have risen 40% in the past two years and rape is up 25%. In a typical recent incident, five Polynesians were charged with gang-raping a 24-year old Finnish girl tourist.

Term at Polynesian schools ends with "kill a Haole day", which appears to be being taken literally. On Maui Island, a White lady, Kay Farrington-Parkin formed a "Mauians for Justice" group, and sells anti-mugger aerosol cans to visitors arriving at the island s airport. The group wants every visitor to be given a free anti-mugger spray carrying the warning that Hawaii is "crime ridden and dangerous"

The cause of all this trouble in what was, until developers and polluters got their claws on it, one of the most beautiful places in the world is, not surprisingly, the multi-racial society. Although discovered by Captain Cook and so rightfully British, the islands were grabbed by the U.S. in the 1890's. They promptly started introducing White settlers who interbred with the native Polynesians. To the half-breeds thus produced they added generous helpings of Japanese immigrants, Chinese and even a garnish of Negroes.

The flood of degenerate American "Coca-Cola culture" from the 1940's onwards finished the job. The result was the creation of a cultureless, rootless mass of racial mongrels, mostly unemployable and with a chip on their shoulder against "White racist society" which is "keeping them down". So they rob, rape and kill as many Whites as possible. **It only goes to show: multi-racial societies don't work – even in Paradise.**

AMEN

A POLITICAL SCIENTIST at the Massachusetts Institute of Technology, who has been speaking to Jewish conventions over the past few months, has stated that Jews are not White.

Speaking to a meeting of Rabbis on the theme "Jewish academician", Prof. Leonard J. Fein, explained that "we are not White symbolically, and we are not White literally".

He then added that we should "not permit ourselves to be lumped together with White America, for that is not where we belong".

JOHN FIELD

SHEFFIELD: A city murdered by Capitalism

LIKE OTHER manufacturing centres set spinning by the industrial revolution, Sheffield has taken the hard times badly.

It had grown used to prosperity, its streets boasting more department store floor-space than any other city in England. Unemployment was something that happened elsewhere.

However, the recession has now hit Sheffield – hard. And jobs are no longer plentiful.

The prospects for school-leavers are particularly grim: in July, 1982, 7,000 under-18's were chasing just 26 vacancies.

Sheffield's prosperity was founded on manufacturing skills and private enterprise. During the industrial revolution the city rapidly developed – building began on a vast scale: showpiece factories; mile upon mile of closely-packed housing; solid, neo-classical civic buildings.

"We must have been a bit like the Japanese today," says one businessman. "We'd seen the need for a product, then go out and build the biggest bloody factory in the world to make it. Nobody could touch us."

But today the rest of the world has caught up. The countless steel mills, the tool works and cutlery workshops are shutting down. The factories along the Don valley are emptying fast.

And, like other British manufacturing centres, Sheffield's big employers and wealth creators are in decline, caught in a double squeeze:

Firstly, they are undergoing a painful adjustment to changed world conditions. New, low-cost producers of special steels, tools and cutlery have arrived and have played havoc with traditional markets, making rationalization inevitable.

Secondly, they have been hit by a barrage of problems – high interest rates, falling orders, soaring energy costs, fluctuating exchange rates – that have created a severe recession throughout industry in the U.K.

And the deepening recession is transforming the problems of adjusting to the current situation into a daily battle for survival.

SMALLER AND WEAKER

John Hambridge, secretary of the Chamber of Commerce, has said: "There is a feeling that companies are not so much leaner and fitter, as smaller and weaker."

"Far from seeing an upturn, people are talking about a return to the 1930's. Now that may be an exageration, but believe me, there are some very worried businessmen in Sheffield now.' The same could be said for any other major British manufacturing city.

Peter Ford meets many of Sheffield's worried businessmen, for his business is the very nuts and bolts of British industry.

His company, Buck & Hickman, part of the Town & City Properties group, is a leading distributor of 14,000 lines ranging from abrasives to zinc stencils. He buys from more than 1,000 companies and sells to some 8,000 others – a position that, some people say, puts his very finger on the pulse of British industry.

Prospects are grim for the young jobless in Sheffield. Seven thousand school leavers are chasing just 26 vacancies.

Company policy is "Buy British", and so is that of most of his customers. Ford is prepared to pay extra for the additional quality and convenience of doing so. In fact he'll pay around 20% extra. The result is that 93% of the Buck & Hickman range is British. About half of it comes from the Sheffield area.

"But this policy is becoming more difficult to sustain. There have been several cases of suppliers closing, or being forced to end production. Draconian steps being taken by industry now will make it very difficult for us to hold the domestic content."

Large chunks of the manufacturing industry have been swept away. And the local employment office reckons industry may have lost half its jobs in the past three years, as the unemployment rate has crept up to and has overtaken the national average.

Some 5,000 British workers have been declared redundant in Sheffield in 1982 alone.

JOBS LOST

But it has been Sheffield's most famous product that has been the biggest loser – Steel.

Over the past four years some 20,000 jobs have been lost in the Steel industry. Big names in the private sector – Lee, Firth Brown and Hadfield – are mere shadows of their former selves.

Hand and machine tool makers like Neill's, Ransom and Rapier, and Spear and Jackson have cut back drastically. Others like Sheffield Twist Drill and Record Ridgeway have slipped into foreign control

The Capitalist System (the Multi-nationals, Big Business, cheap foreign imports, "British" investment overseas, the corrupt banking system and Common Market rulings) has served to destroy Sheffield. And the Tories, the Liberal/SDP Alliance and the Labour parties have nothing to offer Sheffield, for they are internationalists.

Only a Racial-Nationalist economic programme can save Sheffield, for Racial-Nationalists believe that the proper function of an economy is to serve the economic needs of the people, not to make profits for big bankers and huge multinational corporations.

Racial-Nationalists believe that honest work is the only legitimate basis for wealth – not speculation, usury, parasitism or money-manipulation – and that a sound economic system must rest not on debt or some extraneous metal, but on the productivity of the British worker.

Racial-Nationalists further believe that money is properly a medium of exchange and store of value, not a commodity like bread or steel, and therefore that money and credit should not be issued for profit, but to serve the legitimate needs of the people without the burden of interest.

Racial-Nationalists also believe that it is unnecessary for any rational society to suffer unemployment when there is work to be done and people who want jobs.

SELF-SUFFICIENT

We therefore demand the creation of an honest, self-sufficient, debt-free economy based solely on the productive capacity of the White worker, which will guarantee conditions of full employment and price stability.

We also demand public control of all banking and credit institutions as well as all utilities and all monopolies, confiscation of all conglomerate holdings, cancellation of all usurious debt, workers' control in all basic industries, and the institution of a national programme of interest-free loans for families, farmers and small businessmen.

For it will only be through the adoption of these policies that Sheffield – or any other manufacturing area in the United Kingdom – will survive and prosper. And only the National Front, a Racial-Nationalist and National Revolutionary movement, will be able to implement these policies.

In order to save Sheffield we need a National Revolution NOW!

MARTIN WEBSTER

Trouble shooting

AMBITION AND JUDGEMENT

Mr. DAVID IRVING, the historian, is Editor of a magazine called *Focal Point*. Long-standing National Front members and former members of the party may have received unsolicited copies of the magazine, and wondered why – but more of that anon.

Focal Point is the organ of a tiny coterie of ultra-Tory undergraduates, genteel crypto-Mosleyites and sundry other political hobbyists which Mr. Irving believes will become the vehicle for the realisation of his destiny: to become saviour and leader of the British nation.

To wish to become the leader of our nation is not a dishonourable ambition. But since Mr. Irving does not hide his will to power he will expect others who also wish our nation well to put him under the microscope to see if his political talents – not least his judgement – justify his ambition.

The first time I saw *Focal Point* my eye alighted on an item about a former NF member whose application for a commission in the Scots Guards had been rejected on account of his previous political involvements. The young man concerned had not mentioned his former connection with the NF in his interviews and questionnaires, even though he must have realised that after such a long and well-publicised period of NF membership his name and particulars must have been entered in the Establishment's book entitled – if I may paraphrase Hillaire Belloc: *"People NOT Qualified to Be Attendant on Her Majesty"*.

Having lost an opportunity for scoring on frankness, and discovering the reason for his rejection, he attempted to induce the authorities to reverse their decision by making attacks on the NF and scorning as riff-raff those who had once treated him as a friend and comrade.

CLASS BEFORE CHARACTER

Mr. Irving thought it was quite outrageous that a well-bred young man, the product of a wealthy and well-connected family and a top public school, should be rejected by the Guards. He felt that such a young man was *just* the type the Guards needed. He had nothing to say about intelligence, or character attributes such as frankness and loyalty.

Other clues to Mr. Irving's outlook and values may be found in his gossip column (about himself) called – amazingly – "A Radical's Diary". This tends to be filled with news about which Jewish publisher he had recently met in the first-class lounge of what international airport, together with details of the eminently forgettable conversations that took place – all designed to show that Mr. Irving is not the sort of person who Jewish publishers snub when they happen upon him in the first-class lounges of international airports.

What kind of person is Mr. Irving trying to impress or attract by that sort of tripe? Surely genuine radicals in the Nationalist movement would think more of Mr. Irving were he able to report how Jewish publishers dissolve into abusive frenzies at the very mention of his name, let alone upon chance meetings with him.

Mr. Irving obviously believes himself to be a very clever man – and in the field of historical scholarship in which he specialises he has had some undoubted successes. Outside his home ground, however, his cleverness leads him into the sort of folly one would expect from the smart-alec editor of a polytechnic rag-mag, not from a man who wishes to be considered seriously as a potential saviour of our nation.

In order to con potential advertisers that *Focal Point* was regarded by other commercial concerns as a valuable medium for advertising their wares, he snipped out display advertisements that had been published in magazines such as *Private Eye* and, without the consent of the firms concerned, reprinted them in his own magazine as if the space had been paid for by those firms. How Mr. Irving expected to get away with such a trick beggars my imagination.

"CLEVERNESS"

Another operation which has not reflected well on Mr. Irving's judgement was his attempt to boost his subscribers list. I mentioned earlier that many longstanding and former NF members had received unsolicited copies of *Focal Point*. He was able to inflict those "mailing shots" because he bought the 1979 NF membership list which had been filched from the custody of NF Head Office by Paul Kavanagh and Malcolm Smith (two of the leaders of the ill-fated NF splinter group known as the Constitutional Movement).

So delighted was Mr. Irving by this acquisition that *Focal Point* now carries a regular advertisement which seeks to induce secretaries of local branches of Nationalist organisations to sell him the **current** membership list that are in their custody. Such an operation can only be described as subversion.

When people join a Nationalist political organisation they have a right to expect, in view of the current oppression and victimisation of the Nationalist cause, that their names and addresses will be kept confidential. Some patriots rely on confidentiality being preserved to protect their livelihoods and, in some cases, their physical safety. Mr. Irving, however, is prepared to see the security of patriots put at risk by encouraging a squalid trade in confidential membership lists.

If Mr. Irving wished to secure subscriptions from members of other organisations, the honest thing for him to have done would have been to approach the leadership of the organisations concerned and asked them if they would be willing to include copies of *Focal Point* in their next membership mailing. But the attempt to by-pass the leaderships of other organisations and subvert their local officials by offering them cash inducements is clearly the machination of an amoral rather than a "clever" mind.

"PSST! GOT ANY LISTS?"

Mr. Irving obviously thinks that he has been enormously clever, but he may well find out – after unnecessary expenditure – that once again he has not been clever, but too-clever-by-half.

A political following can only be built up by years of effort and self-sacrifice and the cultivation of an atmosphere of trust. There are no short cuts. Worthwhile political movements cannot be established by those who lurk about like spivs on the fringes of other organisations flashing fivers and whispering: "Psst! Got any lists?"

Perhaps the most noteworthy example of Mr. Irving's lack of judgement was his agreement to be a 'patient' in the BBC Radio programme *In the Psychiatrists' Chair* last August. I listened to this interrogation in the bath, which was interesting as I could actually see my toes curl.

His total self-absorbtion, his arrogance, his messianic ambition, his failed marriage, his hostility to women, the mental breakdown of one of his daughters and the possible relationship between that event and his own personality, his underlying insecurity – all this and much else besides was teased out of him. The psychiatrist, like a skilled angler, played him line, drew him in, gaffed him, then left him to flop and twitch about on the river bank.

Few people could emerge from such a public examination by a psychiatrist unscathed and unembarrassed. We all have a dotty side to our personalities and dark corners in our souls. But anybody who harbours huge ambitions and who has the slightest degree of self-knowledge should have the common sense to avoid a public exploration of their inner being. Instead of defending his sanity before a psychiatric inquisitor, Mr. Irving would have preserved more dignity had he agreed to drop his trousers and join a 'mooning' competition on the *Game for a Laugh* programme.

Arrogance led poor Mr. Irving to gamble with his credibility, and he lost. He would do well to slip quietly out of the political arena and concentrate on the things he does well. But I doubt if he has the judgement to realise this, for he is a Man of Destiny, you see.

NATIONALIST BOOKS

The NF's mail order book service. A full range of titles of interest to Nationalists and Racialists. Send 20p for list to: 50 Pawsons Road, Croydon, Surrey.

READERS' LETTERS

All letters to *Nationalism Today* should be addressed to The Editor, *Nationalism Today*, 50 Pawsons Rd., Croydon, Surrey, CRO 2QF.

LOYALIST PRISONERS

Dear Editor,

I write to congratulate you on your magazine, *Nationalism Today*, and the 100% support you give to the Loyalist Cause here in Ulster.

As many of your readers will know, there are hundreds of Loyalists in Ulster's goals. Their "crime" is that of being loyal to their Queen, Flag and Country – and for having the guts to fight the IRA.

However, once "inside", Loyalists are not forgotten, for there are Welfare groups that do their utmost to support their Comrades.

Two such groups are the Loyalist Prisoners Welfare Association, and the Loyalist Prisoners Aid. The former group aid the men and women of the Ulster Volunteer Force and the Red Hand Commando, the latter group looks after the affairs of the Ulster Defence Association and the Ulster Freedom Fighters.

Every year in the months before Christmas, these Welfare groups appeal for money to aid both the Loyalist Prisoners' and their families' suffering.

You will appreciate that the task of raising the necessary money is not an easy one – hence the need for my personal appeal through the columns of *N.T.*

If any reader could contribute, please write to either the Loyalist Prisoners Welfare Association, 214-216, Shankill Road, Belfast, BT13 26J, Northern Ireland; or, the Loyalist Prisoners Aid, 297, Newtownards Road, Belfast, BT4 1AG, Northern Ireland.

I thank your readers in anticipation of their help and assure you of every Loyalist's gratitude and appreciation.

Yours, For God and Ulster,

"Loyalist", Belfast.

RACE RELATIONS

Dear Sir,

The tide of coloured immigrants infiltrating Scottish towns and cities is on the increase, and I think it is time that all true patriots got together north and south of the border and kicked them back to where they belong.

Seven or eight years ago you could walk into any general store in my home town and get good fair service from a White shopkeeper. Now the stench of curry in our once clean shops is getting unbearable. If I can, I would like to suggest that we let these people know we don't want them. I would also suggest that you print the address of the Commission for Racial Equality, then more Scots would know where to complain to.

Yours,

Trevor McLean,
Airdrie, Scotland.

EDITOR'S REPLY: The address of the Commission for Racial Equality's London Head Office is: Elliot House, 10/12 Allington Street, London SW1E 5EH. Telephone 01 828 7022.

FOLK ECONOMICS

Dear Friends,

In your issue no. 10, recently received, there appears on your 'Industrial Front' page an article we would like to reprint – 'The Mondragon Experiment'. It would tie in nicely with some articles about Folk Economics that we have been running over a period of time.

We shall, of course, give the source with your full name and address. If it is all right, you do not need to waste time and postage writing to us to say so; we'll just go ahead and print it in our next issue.

We are pleased to hear that Joe Pearce is back home; it's dangerous to tell the truth these days.

The Fellowship is not a political organization, our charter expressly says so, but since Odinism is a 'way of life' we are certainly watching the scene closely and all facets of our existence are in fact within our interest sphere. However, you cannot do all things at the same time, and we must work on all fronts. People are slowly awakening, and with the increased pressure we experience today, we will eventually get enough reaction to be of consequence.

You have a good magazine going, keep up the good work!

Greetings and best wishes

E. Christensen,
THE ODINIST FELLOWSHIP,
P.O.Box 1647, Crystal River,
Florida 32629, U.S.A.

NATO "ALLIES"

Dear *Nationalism Today*,

We are always being told that we must remain in NATO, as only together can the nations of the "Free West" ever hope to oppose the Communist threat.

Well, the good news for us is that we can always rely on the Dutch "Army" to bail us out of any problems in a war: for we have just been told that Dutch "soldiers" have now been given permission to wear earrings!

A spokesman for the Dutch "Army" has also said: "A decision on what other jewellery they may wear with uniform is to be announced later." Dutch "soldiers" have been allowed to wear long hair in hair nets since the 1960's!

Well, if there is a war, we can always hope that if the Dutch "Army" is not busy putting on make-up, or on strike (Yes! They have a Trade Union as well!) they may even find the time to fight – providing that they don't get their boots muddy!

The US "Army" in Europe – and elsewhere – is now so full of Blacks that it could be said it is next to useless.

The only way to ensure the United Kingdom's future survival is to withdraw from NATO and to build a strong BRITISH Army, Navy and Airforce.

Brent Cheetham, Cuffley, Hertfordshire.

ULSTER DEFENCE

Dear Sir,

Having been a member of the Ulster Defence Regiment for four full years, I was more than shocked and disappointed to be told by the Colonel that the regiment no longer had a place for me in their ranks, and asked me to resign. In other words resign or we kick you out. I had obtained the rank of full Corporal, had proved myself on duty and in training where I won a trophy as a member of the champion section rifle team of the Battalion. On the sports side I won a medal as runner-up in the annual Battalion badminton competition.

As far as the N.F. was concerned, whilst on duty I made no effort to convince people of its virtues. However, I did not deny my interest if asked. No way did my N.F. beliefs change my action as a member of army personnel. I did my duty fairly whether I was in contact with a Roman Catholic, Protestant or Black civilian.

Eventually information leaked 'to the top' about my activities and banner-waving at Linfield matches and the selling of *Bulldogs* on the Newtownards Road.

There is no doubt I miss the U.D.R. The social aspects were excellent. I had made many friends. I enjoyed the army way of life and training, and financially I was aproximately £150 a month better off. I feel bitter at the attitude of our present Government rulers and police force, and feel now an even deeper commitment to the N.F.

However, I leave the U.D.R. knowing for a fact that many of those I came in contact with are N.F. orientated. It was amazing how many had sympathies with the N.F. Naturally I came into contact with many regular regiments from Britain and here too were many N.F. men. I would also like to point out that I am not the first nor no doubt the last to be kicked out of the U.D.R. for holding anti-Establishment political beliefs. In Part One Orders, published and displayed in every U.D.R. camp, it is spelt out clearly that anyone found to have association with the N.F. will be discharged. It was with disgust that I read this soon after my "interview with the Colonel".

If you print this letter, please don't print my name because I have joined the T.A. and would not want this position jeopardised too.

I wish you every success.

Yours faithfully,

A National Front Member, Belfast.

Rite Up

BLACK HEARTED

HEARTS from Black donors last nearly three times longer than White hearts, a top heart transplant surgeon revealed recently. Dr. David Cooper, the English surgeon who heads Professor Christian Barnard's famous Cape Town transplant unit, said that this hitherto unsuspected racial difference had emerged from his unit's records of numerous heart transplants carried out over the last 15 years. This is yet another illustration of the fact that racial differences are a lot more than skin deep. And the discovery that Black hearts are stronger than Whites' may make them feel better about the fact that their brains are weaker than ours!

In view of this finding, any Blacks who wish to donate their hearts for heart transplants will be allowed to stay in this country after the operation by an NF Government.

Cut off their goolies!

VIOLENT criminals should be castrated, Rev. Andrew Reakes-Williams, Vicar of Holy Trinity Church, Oswestry, Shropshire, urged in his parish magazine on September 14th: "Nobody is saying castrate people because they are nasty. But I am saying if you attack innocent people you should lose your manhood because you have ceased to behave like a man."

Though he could find no theological backing for his proposed penal (penile?) reform, Rev. Reakes-Williams thought "it could prove effective".

Even we think this idea is just a little bit extreme...

"Business is bad at the moment, but I can get you in as an SDP candidate at the next election."

From our files...

A RECENT edition of the magazine *West Africa* contains this news item from Nigeria:

"The Minister of Science and Technology, Dr. Sylvester Ugoh, has said that the Federal Government would give assistance to the first Nigerian to make an aircraft. Malam Mohammed Mustapha from Borno State was reported to have succeeded in assembling an aircraft from a Volkswagen engine, zinc roofing sheets, pieces of timber and plywood, light iron rods and Vespa scooter tyres. Dr. Ugoh said that a team of experts would be sent from Lagos to Maiduguri in order to study the technological success of Malam Mustapha, whom, he said, would be given all the assistance he would need to perfect his invention."

SCENES FROM JEWISH FAMILY LIFE

AN American Jew killed and chopped up his father at his Paris flat, then did the same to his mother when she called at his Southampton home, Winchester Crown Court heard in June.

On August 10th last year David Rosenthal bludgeoned his father, a retired UN official, to death then sawed his body to bits in the bathroom whilst his father's Asian au pair girl sat outside doing a crossword.

Two weeks later, he chopped up his Israeli mother, who had dropped in to say hello at Rosenthal's British home, and left bits of her body in Wisebuy supermarket bags littered all over the Hampshire countryside.

Rosenthal's father's au pair girl, Oubilah Shabaz, told the Court she did wonder what the young Jew was up to in the bathroom, in which he locked himself for several hours whilst he hacksawed daddy into little pieces. "But," she said, "his father had told me his son had psychological problems, so I just figured he was a little weird and doing what he wanted to do in the bathroom." So she left him to it and got on with her crossword.

Oy veh!

TUSK! TUSK!

THREE zoologists – an Englishman, a Frenchman and a Jew – were each commissioned to write a book about Elephants. The Englishman's book was called *The Memory of the Elephant*. The Frenchman's book was called *The Sex Life of the Elephant;* and the Jew's book was called *Elephants and the Growing Menace of Anti-Semitism!*

THE 'TRUTH'

TWO Muscovites meet.
"How's life?"
"Fantastic."
"Do you read the papers?"
"Of course. How else would I know?"

SEARCHLIES

THE ANTI-BRITISH MONTHLY ***Incorporating CRAP***

Passover 5742 40 Shekels

ON APRIL 1st in Harrow, Middlesex, Rashid Patel, an Asian teenager – aged 27 – was viciously murdered by a gang of over 8,000 White skinheads wearing evening dress and brandishing nuclear devices. He was abducted by Hydro-foil to evil South Africa, where he was tortured and questioned for 5 years before being returned by time-warp to his flat in Harrow at a point five minutes after he had originally left home, thus causing him to be late for work, an Industrial Tribunal heard recently. Typically, an all-White court claimed that Mr Patel was lying, even when he explained – through his leprous interpretor – that the reason for his regular lateness was that these vicious race-hate attacks – surely provoked by NF activity in nearby Cardiff – had occurred most mornings and that he had been repeatedly murdered.

Industrial Front

IMPORT CONTROLS OR RUIN FOR BRITAIN'S CARMAKERS

Thousands of foreign car imports are flooding the British home market. Unless something is done to stem the import tide, the British car industry faces disaster.

FOREIGN car imports have put 86,000 Britons on the dole, say British Leyland in a study published in mid-September. BL estimates that imports by the foreign multinationals Ford, General Motors (alias 'Vauxhall') and Talbot will reach a staggering 604,800 units next year, accounting for over 40% of sales.

Already in the first six months of this year Ford imported 48% of Fiestas, 54% of Cortinas, and 29% of Escorts sold in this country; General Motors/'Vauxhall' imported 48% of Cavaliers and 55% of Astras; and Talbot imported no less than 71% of Horizons sold here. Now General Motors are threatening to flood the British market with a new small car, already marketed in Europa as the 'Opel Corsa' (Opel is another tentacle of the U.S. General Motors multinational octopus: General Motors worldwide is richer than Britain – and more powerful). 50,000 of the new cars are to appear in Britain under the Vauxhall badge next spring, but they are to be made entirely in Spain.

Matters are made worse by ridiculously low British import tariffs on foreign cars. Whilst British cars exported to Spain must pay a healthy 36.7% Spanosh import duty, the Spanish cars with which General Motors plan to flood Britain next March face a derisory 4.4% British duty. **The Tories have left the British car industry defenceless, amongst the least protected by tariffs in the World, a sitting duck for undercutting by cheap foreign labour.**

Even where they do not impose swingeing tariffs on foreign car imports, almost every country but us manages to protect their own motor industry somehow. The Japanese, for example, impose vast bureaucratic red tape tangles on cars imported, holding them up for so long that it is not worth importing them at all in the end. Meanwhile, the Japs evade agreements on curbing car exports to Britain by shipping their cars via Australia. Thus, whilst our motor industry faces ruin, Japan's largest car manufacturers, Toyota, made a record £694 million profit in the last business year, up 34.6% on the year before.

GETTING WORSE

And the situation is getting worse. Apart from the General Motors threat, Ford has announced it intends to "reduce its sterling cost base" by importing even more of the cars it sells in Britain. BL rightly say this decision has "horrifying implications for the British economy and employment".

British management and unions are united in their demand for some protection for Britain's beleagured motor industry. British Leyland's report concludes: "If nothing is done about it Britain will surely pay the price – less investment, longer dole queues, smaller wage packets and bigger tax demands." Meanwhile the Transport and General Workers' Union has threatened to 'black' imports of the new General Motors car, and subsequently other foreign-built cars. Announcing the proposed action on September 24th, TGWU General Secretary Moss Evans spoke of the danger of "the fabric of the car industry being destroyed" by foreign imports. "What we want is selective import controls," he added, and rightly so.

But he and the British car industry won't get them from this Government, whose spokesmen have already denounced import controls and realistic tariff levels as "protectionism". The SDP agree. Labour has presided over exactly the same situation watching as foreign imports rocketed in the years 1975-79 whilst they were in office and foreign multinationals gobbled up more and more of what remained of our own productive capacity. Even Moss Evans, whose TGWU has been a faithful ally of Labour, had to admit that the last Labour Government did nothing for his members faced with this threat. "It has been like talking to a brick wall," he complained.

No, the only chance the British car industry, like many other industries, has of survival is the National Front. We, and we alone, are determined to bring in import controls and throw out all foreign multinationals. Without these economic nationalist measures, Britain's motor industry, and hundreds of thousands of jobs, are doomed.

WHAT ABOUT THE WORKERS?

MORE AND MORE big companies are giving their senior managers tempting incentive schemes – linking rewards to profit performance. This trend is noted by a recent edition of *Monk's Guide To Senior Management Incentives*. It proves that: "A direct link between management rewards and company performance is a powerful motivator, and it is a criticism of Britain today that such an obvious point needs to be said – and repeated."

It is indeed an obvious point that the profit motive is a powerful motivator, and anybody with an ounce of intelligence can see that this is the case. However, the introduction of incentive schemes for senior management is not to be applauded. They are based on class bias and consequently only benefit a privileged small minority. Yet if the link between "rewards and company performance" is such a "powerful motivator" why should senior management be the only beneficiaries? Surely the whole working population should be motivated by such incentive schemes?

Such a suggestion would be greeted with complete horror by the very bosses of industry who are only too pleased to extend such schemes to the lucky few who can be classed as senior management. This discrimination is indefensible and illustrates the class bias shown by management towards the mass of the workforce.

However, regardless of management opposition, the profit motive **must** be extended to the entire working population. But more than this, the motivation which comes from personal **ownership** must be extended to the workforce as well. The workers must liberate themselves from the misery of wage slavery. They must become the **owners** of the industries in which they work!

RICHMOND: "The Party Comes First"

A series of articles by Ian Anderson focussing on individual branches and groups of the National Front.

RICHMOND is a pleasant commuter suburb in South West London, it has few of the problems of the inner city areas like Brixton or Wandsworth, the drives of the semi-detached houses are filled with one or two year old Fords, Datsuns and Toyotas. Hardly prime recruiting ground for the National Front. Yet Richmond Group, although by no means the biggest, is one of the most successful in the country.

After the internal problems of 1980, Richmond Group was re-formed in April 1981 when a meeting was held which attended just 12 people. Realising that if they were to grow they would have to hold regular activities they immediately started organising frequent paper sales in Kingston market. As a result of these a couple of youngsters joined the Group and Chris Payne, the Organiser, immediately set them to work by launching leafletting raids outside local schools. This provoked howls of protest from assorted groups of Red Teachers, local politicians and Marxist and Liberal groups. It also resulted in several new members.

Despite the fact that by the summer of 1981 they were still only a very small unit, they realised that while Richmond group was steadily growing, they were surrounded by areas like Hounslow, Feltham, Brentford, and Whitton all of which should have large N.F. units but which were totally virgin territory. After obtaining lists of the few members which we had in those areas, they started to send these individuals copies of the Richmond bulletins and invitations to attend Richmond meetings. As a result of this they found that there was a small group of people in Hounslow who were prepared to be active and Chris Payne immediately started organising joint paper sales in Hounslow High Street.

CHRIS PAYNE

LEAPS AND BOUNDS

Hounslow, under the organisership of Phil Andrews, grew by leaps and bounds. In April 1982 Hounslow and Richmond co-operated in planning a march which was announced as going through Hounslow. This was banned but the organisers were more than prepared for this and switched the march over the Hounslow border into Twickenham. The march was all formed up and ready to go when a number of angry police officers arrived in cars which skidded to a halt alongside the march. Inspectors, Chief Inspectors and Superintendants spewed out of these cars all demanding to know why Phil Andrew hadn't given them enough notice of the march for them to obtain a ban.

The highly successful march was followed by a rally which attracted a large number of local people who were able to listen to the speeches partly due to the relatively small number of police that had been able to catch up with the march.

By this time Hounslow was operating as a quite separate unit although, of course, there was a lot of co-operation between the two units. Consequently Richmond then turned its attention to another area and has recently set up a new semi-independent group in Walton and Weybridge. As soon as this is fully functioning on its own Chris Payne intends to turn his attention to the Staines/Ashford area.

TERRY DENVILLE-FAULKNER

DOOR TO DOOR

Despite all this work outside their own area, the Richmond Committee has made sure that it has not neglected its own area. Door to door leafletting and street paper sales are regular events in Richmond and the last members meeting was attended by over 30 people. Richmond is very lucky in having as its chairman Terry Denville-Faulkner, the National Education and Training Officer, and he always makes a point of specially welcoming both new members and also possible recruits who come from outside the area to attend Richmond meetings.

Membership enquiries are followed up within a few days and all potential recruits are encouraged to attend meetings to meet the other members.

Richmond may not be the largest Group in the country but their work over the last two years has made a very substantial contribution to the development of the National Front. Some much larger Branches would do well to copy the selfless attitude of Richmond in promoting the party outside their own area.

BUY N.T. IN BULK!

10 copies £ 3.50 + 94p post
20 copies £ 6.40 + £1.57p post
30 copies £ 9.60 + £1.57p post
40 copies £12.80 + £1.90p post
50 copies £14.50 + £1.90p post
60 copies £17.40 + £2.10p post
70 copies £20.30 + £2.10p post
80 copies £23.20 + £2.25p post
90 copies £26.10 + £2.25p post
100 copies £27.00 + £2.40p post
200-499 copies: 23p each)Postal rates apply
500 copies and over: 20p each for details

All cheques or postal orders should be made out to *Nationalism Today* and sent to *Nationalism Today*, 50 Pawsons Road, Croydon, Surrey CRO 2QF.

GET IT REGULARLY!

Make sure you receive Nationalism Today promptly, every single issue. Take out a subscription today by filling in this form and sending it to us with a cheque or postal order for the correct amount.

Name .....

ADDRESS .....

.....

The following rates are for **12** issues (please tick as applicable): British Isles: **£7.00** ☐
Overseas unsealed surface mail **£7.30** ☐ Overseas sealed surface mail **£10.70** ☐ Unsealed air mail, Middle East **£11.30** ☐ Unsealed air mail Canada, America, Africa **£12.20** ☐ Unsealed air mail Australia, New Zealand **£14.20** ☐ Half rates for 6 issues.
Please add 50p to foreign cheques as commission for our grasping bankers!
All cheques/P.O.'s should be made out to NATIONALISM TODAY and sent to: N.T., 50 Pawsons Road, Croydon, Surrey CRO 2QF.

A Healthy Race



A Clean Environment

By John Field

AS RACIAL-NATIONALISTS, our love for our Race and Nation is complemented by a love for our environment. And, as the creed of Racial-Nationalism is based on a reverent respect for Nature and Her laws, we are deeply concerned with any issue which affects the environment.

We feel that our most precious natural resource is our people, and we intend to see to it that they have the healthiest and most pollution-free environment possible in which to live.

In place of the decadent, corrupt, fouled-up capitalist consumer society in which we live today, a National Front government would create a society free of everything which contaminates our environment – such as chemical additives in foods, industrial pollution in our streams and rivers and in the air, and potentially dangerous radio-active waste materials.

In order to have a truly healthy White race, we must have a pollution-free environment.

It is our opinion that previous governments have only paid lip-service to such an ideal; buildings of historic interest have been destroyed, the countryside has been eaten-up, our waterways – and even the air which we breath – have been continually polluted by waste products and chemicals.

In contrast to this, the preservation and improvement of our natural and man-made environment would be a fundamental duty of a National Front government. Indeed, only considerations of national security would be allowed to take any precedence (and then, only as a final measure) over the conservation of the environment. All commercial considerations would take second place.

Britain's urban areas, particularly the larger cities, serve as monuments to the evil, soul destroying forces of materialism and capitalism. Hideous buildings, devoid of any taste, beauty or consideration for human happiness dominate and disfigure our land.

Many readers who live in high-rise flats or on the sprawling, inhospitable council estates will only be too familiar with the problems associated with such buildings.

RADICAL RE-THINK

What is clearly needed is a radical re-think on behalf of the planning authorities – and such a re-think can only be accomplished under a revolutionary Radical-Nationalist government.

No longer should the British people suffer the indignity of being couped-up in little rabbit hutches in the sky.

No more concrete jungles should be created; and in fact, all skyscrapers, flats and maisonettes should be destroyed and replaced with proper houses.

Where old dwellings are structurally sound enough to be preserved they should be preserved. Delapiated houses should be renovated and made decent for human habitation.

No more residental areas should be demolished for the purpose of commercial re-development, such as shopping centres, office blocks or the building of new road systems.

Indeed, positive moves should be made to depopulate the large cities by encouraging the people back to the land and establishing rural communities.

However, those Britons who choose to remain in the big cities should be given a greater say in the development of their local areas, with all proposed developments involving a change in the existing use of the land being subject to the results of secret referenda of the local people.

Our inner-cities should also feature more open spaces and park land; historic buildings should be preserved and building "height limits" established.

Also, in the inner-cities, many people will be aware of the opportunities open for corruption and bribary in the dealings between local planning/housing authorities and big business property/land developers. Indeed, many of todays hideous and unwanted urban developments can be directly attributed to the abuse of power of many local planning authorities in collusion with big business. Such corruption must be completely rooted out and smashed!

NEW SYSTEM

However, none of this can be accomplished under the present System. It will require an entirely new system and an entirely new attitude on the part of everyone.

Those who control the present system – the politicians, big business and the media – will not like our idea of a Racial-Nationalist, pollution-free state. But what we have to ask ourselves is: "What is most important for the long range benefit of our Race – making profits for giant capitalist concerns or making our environment clean and healthy?" The answer should be obvious: we want a healthy race and a clean environment!

As well as environmental pollution our people today have also become the victims of spiritual pollution. Their lives have become dominated by an alien materialism. In the new age which the Racial-Nationalist state will usher in, the throw-away, no-deposit-no-return commercialism of today's judaized society will be swept away and replaced by a return to the higher spiritual values such as honour, duty, loyalty, family, self-discipline and the concept that the common good must take precedence over the good of the individual.

FIND OUT ABOUT THE NATIONAL FRONT

Send 50p for information pack to:

NATIONAL FRONT
50 PAWSONS ROAD
CROYDON
SURREY CRO 2QF